Department of Health and Human Services Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) Self-Fidelity Response

СМНС:	Monadnock Family Services (MFS)
DHHS Response Date:	12/1/2016 2nd response 1/24/17

Executive Summary:

Thank you for this initial ACT Fidelity Report submission and your ongoing efforts to provide high quality services to consumers with psychiatric disabilities.

The Bureau of Mental Health Services unfortunately was unable to complete its' review of this report, as many ratings were not accompanied by specific information about the ACT team to substantiate the rating. We provided preliminary comments in the first section to help you see how the review needs to be updated. Please re-evaluate fidelity items if necessary, provide a substantiation for each rating in the comment section of each item. Please refer to the guidelines and formulas found in the *Evaluating your Program* document, pages 39-54, in the ACT EBP Kit for guidance on how to rate each item. For example, for item H1, indicate in the comments section what the Staff:Consumer ratio has been on average for the past 3 months. If it was 10:1, as indicated in your executive summary, the rating would be 5. For another example, item H2, indicate in the comments section the proportion of consumers who met with 2 or more providers in the past 2 weeks based on your chart reviews, then rate the team accordingly.

Once you have updated your review, please update the ACT Self Fidelity report with the necessary clarifications, details, and formulas/computations to substantiate the item scores. Additionally, please update the "Areas of Focus" section with action steps and time-lines for achieving target goals. We recommend that this section should prioritize scale items that were rated 3 or less. Your prioritized "Areas of Focus" will provide a basis for any technical assistance and follow-up activities that we may be collaborating on with you.

Please submit an updated Fidelity Review to Michele Harlan by December 16, 2016.

Thank you for your 2^{nd} response on 12/2016 with an updated, corrected and amended CMHC ACT Fidelity Report originally dated 11/2016. Upon review we have determined that MFS is reasonably in compliance with the purpose and intent of the ACT self-fidelity process. We have updated the DHHS response herein accordingly.

Several Fidelity items need additional review to ensure that they were assessed and rated as intended by the toolkit. The final score cannot be confirmed at this point due to these items

The Areas of Focus section in your 12/2016 report is acceptable and addresses the lowest scoring items, however the plan still lacks concrete action steps and target timelines linked back to the scale items. Also we recommend that you prioritize the elements that MFS will focus on for improvement. For example, you might include action steps and prioritize as follows:

1. Co-Occurring Disorders Group. ACT Leader will develop and offer a co-occurring disorder group focused on engagement and preparation for change for ACT participants before March 1st 2017.

These prioritized Areas of Focus will be the basis for any technical assistance and follow-up activities with BMHS. This CMHC self-review resulted in an Good Implementation rating of: **GOOD IMPLEMENTATION** Out of a possible 140 points the CMHC reported a score of: Updated score: 118 **CMHC** is in Compliance **DHHS Response:** No further action Resubmit: yes Address items: $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$ needed **Score Range Implementation Rating** 113 - 140 **Good Implementation** 85 - 112 Fair Implementation Not Assertive Community Treatment 84 and below

Human Resources: Structure and Composition

H1 Small caseload: Consumer/provider ratio = 10:1		Rating = 4 out of 5
		Rating = 5 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Agree (Preliminary) – Include the actual ratio result and computations in response to this item.	
	AGREE	

H2 Team approach:		Rating = 4 out of 5
	as team rather than as individual ACT nembers know and work with all	Rating = 4 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Not Assessed – Provide the computed % of ACT consumers with more than one staff in a two week period.	
	AGREE	

H3 Program meeting:		Rating = 4 out of 5
Meets often to plan and review services for each consumer		Rating = 4 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Agree	
	AGREE	

H4 Practicing ACT leader:		Rating = 5 out of 5
Supervisor of Frontline A services	CT team members provides direct	Rating = 5 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Agree	
	AGREE	

H5 Continuity of staffing: Keeps same staffing over time		Rating = 5 out of 5
		Rating = 5 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Agree (Preliminary) – Include the actual turnover result and computations from the toolkit in response to this item.	
	AGREE	

H6 Staff capacity:		Rating = 4 out of 5
Operates at full staffing		Rating = 4 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Agree (Preliminary) - Include the actual staff capacity result and computations from the toolkit in response to this item.	
	This item addresses whether your team he full, intended capacity (e.g., did you lose operate without the position for a time). calculate the vacancy rate for the team. A operated at 80-95% capacity, but the text rate and suggests that the reviewer did not Please make sure you have scored this ite point, DHHS cannot confirm this rating.	a staff person and have to Reviewers would A 4 indicates that the team did not indicate vacancy of understand this item.

H7 Psychiatrist on team:		Rating = 4 out of 5
At least 1 full-time psychi program	atrist for 100 consumers works with	Rating = 4 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Agree - Include the actual result and computations from the	
	toolkit in response to this item. Based on the last data report	
	(9/2016) available the result is Psychiatry result is 0.89 per 100	
	for MFS.	
	Agree	

H8 Nurse on team:		Rating = 3 out of 5
At least 2 full-time nurses	assigned for a 100-consumer program	Rating = 2 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Disagree – Include the actual result and computations from the	
	toolkit in response to this item. Based available the MFS ACT RN rate per 10 score of 2.	` '
	AGREE	

H9 Substance abuse specialist on team:		Rating = 5 out of 5
	n with at least 2 staff members with 1 year erience in substance abuse treatment	Rating = 5 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Agree - Include the actual result and computations from the toolkit in response to this item. Based on the last data (9/2016) available the MFS SA ACT rate per 100 is 4.66.	
	AGREE	

H10 Vocational special	ist on team:	Rating = 3 out of 5
At least 2 team members vocational rehabilitation	with 1 year training/experience in and support	Rating = 3 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Agree – Include the actual result and computations from the	
	toolkit in response to this item. Based on the last data (9/2016) available the MFS SE ACT rate per 100 is 1.37.	
	AGREE	

H11 Program size:		Rating = 5 out of 5
Of sufficient absolute size diversity and coverage	to consistently provide necessary staffing	Rating = 3 out of 5
DHHS Response:	Disagree - Include the actual result and computations from the	
	toolkit in response to this item. Based on the last data (9/2016) available, the MFS ACT program staff size is 7.25 and that is equivalent to a score of 4. Agree	

Organizational Boundaries

O1 Explicit admission criteria: Has clearly identified mission to serve a particular population. Has and uses measurable and operationally defined criteria to screen		Rating = 4 out of 5
out inappropriate referra		
DHHS Response:	AGREE	
02 Intake rate: Takes consumers in at a l environment.	ow rate to maintain a stable service	Rating = 5 out of 5
DHHS Response:	AGREE - Please note that up to 6 intake	s/month is "Good
	Implementation" on this aspect of ACT.	The team can increase the
	intake rate to 3-6 per month to expand the high fidelity.	e team while maintaining
services, counseling/ psy	gement, directly provides psychiatric chotherapy, housing support, substance	Rating = 5 out of 5
	ment and rehabilitative services.	
DHHS Response:	AGREE	
04 B 11111 6		Dating Fout of F
04 Responsibility for c	risis services: ty for covering psychiatric crises.	Rating = 5 out of 5
DHHS Response:		
05 Responsibility for h	nospital admissions:	Rating = 5 out of 5
Is involved in hospital add	-	
DHHS Response:	AGREE	<u> </u>
06 Responsibility for h	ospital discharge planning:	Rating = 5 out of 5
Is involved in planning fo	I	
DHHS Response:	AGREE	
	•	
	vices (graduation rate): emains the point of contact for all	Rating = 5 out of 5

DHHS Response:	AGREE

Nature of Services

S1 Community-based services:	Rating = 5 out of 5
Works to monitor status, develop community living skills in	
community rather than in office.	
DHHS Response: AGREE	
S2 No dropout policy:	Rating = 5 out of 5
Retains high percentage of consumers.	
DHHS Response: AGREE	
S3 Assertive engagement mechanisms:	Rating = 5 out of 5
As part of ensuring engagement, uses street outreach and legal	
mechanisms (probation/parole, OP commitment) as indicated and	
as available.	
DHHS Response: AGREE	

S4 Intensity of service:		Rating = 4 out of 5
High total amount of service time, as needed.		
DHHS Response:	AGREE	
	Please note that the analysis of Phoenix e September 2016 showed that clients track averaged 70 minutes per week, a number average of 86 minutes MFS reported. It is review included consumers who received service.	sed in the ACT cost center somewhat lower than the s possible that the chart

S5 Frequency of contact:		Rating = 4 out of 5
High number of service contacts, as needed.		
DHHS Response:	AGREE	
	Please note that the analysis of Phoenix e September 2016 showed that clients track averaged 2.4 encounters per week, a num average of 3.1 encounters MFS reported. chart review included consumers who rec	ted in the ACT cost center ber lower than the It is possible that the

	of service.	
S6 Work with informal	support system:	Rating = 4 out of 5
	r present, provides support and skills for	
	ork: family, landlords, employers.	
DHHS Response:	The calculation provided is not clear. Please review and make sure	
	this item was rated correctly. At this point, DHHS cannot confirm	
	this rating.	
S7 Individualized subs	tance abuse treatment:	Rating = 4 out of 5
1 or more team members	provides direct treatment and substance	
abuse treatment for const	umers with substance-use disorders.	
DHHS Response:	The text contains conflicting statements on the length of SUD	
•	treatment sessions. If the sessions are 40 minutes, a rating of 4 is	
	warranted, if the sessions are 45 minutes, a rating of 4 is	
		a rating of 3 is warranted
	Please review and clarify correct rating.	
CO. Co. Occurring discussion	In the sales and severe a	Rating = 1 out of 5
S8 Co-Occurring disord		Rating - 1 out of 5
substance-use disorders.	treatment strategy for consumers with	
DHHS Response:	AGREE	
Diffis Response.	AOREE	
S9 Dual Disorders (DD) Model:	Rating = 3 out of 5
	al, stage-wise treatment model, follows	o .
	siders interactions of mental illness and	
<u> </u>	gradual expectations of abstinence.	
DHHS Response:		
S10 Role of consumers on team:		Rating = 3 out of 5
Consumers involved as te	am members providing direct services.	
DHHS Response:	AGREE	